

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,897	05/17/2006	J00-Но Кіт	0001.1059	5791
49455 STEIN MCEV	7590 11/05/200 VEN I I P	9	EXAMINER JOHNSON, CONNIE P	
1400 EYE STI				
SUITE 300 WASHINGTO	N DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2009	FLECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptomail@smiplaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/531,897	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CONNIE P. JOHNSON	1795		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) X will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 4-26.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

/Cynthia H Kelly/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that Yamasaki does not teach light to heat converting layers sandwiching the image forming layer wherein the light to heat converting layers form a pattern. Further, applicant argues that the two light to heat conversion layers sandwich the image forming layer would not have been obvious in Yamasaki because the light to heat conversion layer in Yamasaki provides no benefit and is not even required.

The printing plate of Yamasaki is image forming and capable of forming patterns. Applicant is directed to page 26, paragraph 0282 wherein Yamasaki teaches light to heat converting material in layers other than the imaging layer. The other layers comprising the light to heat converting material include the layer under the imaging layer and the overcoat layer over the imaging layer. Therefore, Yamasaki definitely suggests light to heat converting material in plural layers above and below the imaging layer. With regards to Yamasaki not teaching the benefits of the second light to heat converting layer, Yamasaki teaches the light to heat converting layers impress efficiency and sensitivity. Therefore, one of ordinary skill would use the light to heat converting material in more than one layer to improve efficiency and sensitivity in the imaging composition.

Applicant argues that Yamasaki does not teach creating patterns that require large amounts of heat and also does not teach a photoresist or heat sensitive layer that could evaporate or deform by larger amounts of heat that are not efficiently converted and provided.

Applicant has not claimed any particular limitations of the thermal sensitive layer, therefore the argument about Yamasaki's heat sensitive layer not requiring large amounts of heat or its ability to evaporate or deform is moot.